Futurism

CLAIM DENIED I FEB 13, 9:05 AM EST by VICTOR TANGERMANN

Allstate Is Demanding We Delete These Quotes by Its Exec About How It's Using AI to Write Insurance Emails Baffling.

/ Artificial Intelligence/ Artificial Intelligence/ Generative Ai/ Insurance



Image by Futurism

We were struck this week when the <u>Wall Street Journal</u> reported that Allstate, a major insurance company, had largely handed over the task of writing claims emails over to an AI system.

The *WSJ*'s source wasn't remotely controversial; it was Allstate's own chief information officer, Zulfi Jeevanjee.

"The claim agent still looks at them just to make sure they're accurate, but they're not writing them anymore," Jeevanjee enthused to the newspaper.

"When these emails used to go out, even though we had standards and so on, they would include a lot of insurance jargon," he continued. "They weren't very empathetic... Claims agents would get frustrated, and so it wasn't necessarily great communication."

It was a fascinating story about the incursion of AI into yet another industry, so we ran a quick blog on it and moved onto other things.

But then we got a genuinely bizarre email from someone on Allstate's media relations team, claiming the *WSJ*'s reporting was flawed and that the newspaper was on the verge of taking it down.

"I'm currently working with the *Wall Street Journal* to have it updated/removed due to the high number of inaccuracies," the Allstate spokesperson told us, demanding that we delete our blog entirely.

For obvious reasons, that's a ridiculous request. We told the spokesperson that we'd be happy to update the piece with additional comment from Allstate, but that we wouldn't change our factual claims unless the *WSJ* did.

Later on, the *WSJ* did add a correction to its story — but only on two obscure points, about the number of insurance reps the company employs and the name of the vendor Allstate uses for estimating the cost of repairs.

Meanwhile, Allstate's media team continued to badger us, sending a lengthy table of requested changes, many of which involved deleting or altering statements by the company's own exec, Jeevanjee (they were also very unhappy with a comparison to UnitedHealthcare, another insurer that's reportedly deployed AI to deal with claims.) In fact, they sent this preposterous table laying out exactly which quotes they wanted modified or removed:

Victor,

In the following chart are the errors and inaccuracies from the article. Next to those are the corrections needed to make the statements accurate and true. For your reference, I've also included the rationale or explanation on these. I appreciate your prompt attention to correcting the article.

Futurism Error	Correction	Rationale
"The claim agent still looks at them just to make sure they're accurate, but they're not writing them anymore."	Remove this quote or correct to say that AI helps to review emails for claims reps. Claims reps are still writing and ultimately sending the emails to customers.	This is overly generalized. Allstate's Al tool reviews the email drafted by the employee based on our customer experience standards. Approx. 6-10% of claims emails are flagged for assistance by this tool.
"Insurance company Allstate has revealed that almost all of the communications its reps send out to claimants are now written by Al."	A more appropriate statement would be, "Insurance company Allstate says some of its claims reps can use Al to help review some emails to customers."	Again, Allstate's Al tool reviews the email drafted by the claim rep and ensures it meets our customer experience standards. Approx. 6-10% of all sent emails are flagged for Al assistance by this tool.
"Allstate chief information officer Zulfi Jeevanjee told the WSJ that Al-generated emails tend to be less accusatory and jargony."	Al can help refine the claim rep's email to remove insurance jargon and drive clarity, improving the customer experience.	Again, Allstate's Al tool is not writing the actual email, it is reviewing it and flagging it to ensure it meets our customer experience standards.
"'When these emails used to go out, even though we had standards and so on, they would include a lot of insurance jargon' he said. 'They weren't very empatheticClaims agents would get frustrated and so it wasn't necessarily great communication.'"	Allstate is using the Al tools to ensure the email is free from unnecessary insurance jargon to drive more clarity.	This quote was taken out of context and overly generalized. Not all claims reps are writing emails without empathy or filled with insurance jargon. Nor are all claims reps getting frustrated.
Reference to UnitedHealthcare and the class action lawsuit.	Remove reference to UnitedHealthcare.	It's not accurate to compare Allstate's use of Al to UnitedHealthcare. Allstate does not use Al to approve/deny claims. At Allstate, all claims decisions are being made by humans.

To be clear, it's not out of the ordinary for spokespeople to reach out to journalists to dispute factual claims, sometimes resulting in corrections. We're all human, after

all (or, at least, those of us who aren't writing Allstate's claims emails still are.)

But it's an entirely different matter when a company requests that direct quotes by its executives be deleted wholesale. And frankly, we were baffled: Jeevanjee is the company's CIO, so you'd expect that he'd know exactly how its employees were using technology. And his quotes — the "claim agent still looks at them just to make sure they're accurate, but they're not writing them anymore" — weren't remotely ambiguous.

Flummoxed, we replied to Allstate seeking some clarity. Were they saying that Jeevanjee was lying when he made the remarks to the WSJ? Or that he was confused? Is he now claiming something different?

"We would like to correct how our Claims team uses AI tools," the spokesperson replied, completely ignoring our questions. "Allstate employees are responsible for drafting and sending all customer emails, and they can choose to use AI tools to help improve clarity. Our employees are committed to helping restore our customers' lives quickly with accuracy and empathy."

Asked again why Allstate was so bluntly contradicting its own CIO, the spokesperson again ignored the question — in another email, we couldn't help but notice, that sounded a bit like it might have been written with AI itself.

"As I mentioned, we would like to correct how our Claims team uses AI tools," they said. "The information I provided you provides an accurate overview of how customer emails are drafted and sent and we are asking you to include that in any reporting on our use of AI."

It's hard not to speculate about what's going on behind the scenes at Allstate. Was there a drastic miscommunication? Some sort of coverup of an actual policy?

But the most likely explanation probably has to do with this: Allstate is starting to realize, like many other large companies, that customers don't like the idea of being offloaded onto a flawed and over-hyped AI system.

And as a result, when a newspaper reported that that's exactly what it had been doing, its media arm panicked — and started making bizarre demands to journalists.

© Recurrent Ventures Inc, All Rights Reserved.